

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orgho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,478	07/25/2006	Shinichi Nagata	80288 ( 302748 )	5102
James E. Arms	7590 08/01/2008 strong, IV	EXAMINER		
Edward Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP			VALONE, THOMAS F	
P.O. BOX 558 Boston, MA 02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20301,11110	2200		2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2006	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,478	NAGATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS F. VALONE	2831	

	THOMAS F. VALONE	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the fol application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance win periods:</li> </ol>	o or on the same day as filing a N lowing replies: (1) an amendment of Appeal (with appeal fee) in com	lotice of Appeal. To avoid aba ;, affidavit, or other evidence, v npliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peri- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dates set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ne date on which the petition under 37 ad of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for oe later than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropri reply originally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief if filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>         The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejetable.     </li> <li>         (a) They raise new issues that would require furting the first the properties.     </li> <li>         (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT).     </li> </ol>	her consideration and/or search ( E below);	see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying t	the issues for
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without cance  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 C		nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 Cl		Non-Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed. Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-10 and 12-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ɔ) ☐ will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is need.</li> </ol>	ed to overcome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been consideration.</li> </ol>	red but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowar	ice pecause:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements. Other:	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
/Diogo Gutiorroz/			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2831

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims and the new claim have not been searched and require further consideration. Regarding the argument that the cled references do not beach, mention or suggest that the resonant peak which occurs with the specimen in the cavity has a lower Q value than the resonant peak without a speciment present, this disclosed feature has not been claimed and therefore the argument is deemed to be without ment. Regarding the argument that certain claims are "based on the fact that the moisture content of each cost layer can be determined independently of each other, which is not taught in the cited references, this feature has also not been claimed.